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1 Introduction

MLearn, the workhorse method of MLInterfaces, has been streamlined to support simpler development.

In 1.*, MLearn included a substantial switch statement, and the external learning function was identified by a string. Many massage tasks were wrapped up in switch case elements devoted to each method. MLearn returned instances of MLOutput, but these had complicated subclasses.

MLearn now takes a signature `c("formula", "data.frame", "learnerSchema", "numeric")`, with the expectation that extra parameters captured in ... go to the fitting function. The complexity of dealing with expectations and return values of different machine learning functions is handled primarily by the learnerSchema instances. The basic realizations are that

- most learning functions use the formula/data idiom, and additional parameters can go in ...
- the problem of converting from the function’s output structures (typically lists, but sometimes also objects with attributes) to the uniform structure delived by MLearn should be handled as generically as possible, but specialization will typically be needed
- the conversion process can be handled in most cases using only the native R object returned by the learning function, the data, and the training index set.
- some functions, like knn, are so idiosyncratic (lacking formula interface or predict method) that special software is needed to adapt MLearn to work with them

Thus we have defined a learnerSchema class,

```r
> library(MLInterfaces)
> getClass("learnerSchema")
```
Slots:

Name: packageName mlFunName converter
Class: character character function

Known Subclasses: "nonstandardLearnerSchema"

along with a constructor used to define a family of schema objects that help MLearn carry out specific tasks of learning.

2 Some examples

We define interface schema instances with suffix "I".

randomForest has a simple converter:

```r
> randomForestI@converter
defunction (obj, data, trainInd)
{
  teData = data[-trainInd, ]
  trData = data[trainInd, ]
  tepr = predict(obj, teData)
  trpr = predict(obj, trData)
  names(tepr) = rownames(teData)
  names(trpr) = rownames(trData)
  new("classifierOutput", testPredictions = factor(tepr), trainPredictions = factor(trpr),
        RObject = obj)
}
<environment: namespace:MLInterfaces>
```

The job of the converter is to populate as much as the classifierOutput instance as possible. For something like nnet, we can do more:

```r
> nnetI@converter
defunction (obj, data, trainInd)
{
  teData = data[-trainInd, ]
  trData = data[trainInd, ]
  tepr = predict(obj, teData, type = "class")
  trpr = predict(obj, trData, type = "class")
  names(tepr) = rownames(teData)
  names(trpr) = rownames(trData)
```
We can get posterior class probabilities.

To obtain the predictions necessary for confusionMatrix computation, we may need the converter to know about parameters used in the fit. Here, closures are used.

```r
> knnI(k = 3, l = 2)@converter

function (obj, data, trainInd)
{
  kpn = names(obj$traindat)
  teData = data[-trainInd, kpn]
  trData = data[trainInd, kpn]
  tepr = predict(obj, teData, k, l)
  trpr = predict(obj, trData, k, l)
  names(tepr) = rownames(teData)
  names(trpr) = rownames(trData)
  new("classifierOutput", testPredictions = factor(tepr), testScores = attr(tepr, "prob"), trainPredictions = factor(trpr), RObject = obj)
}
```

So we can have the following calls:

```r
> library(MASS)
> data(crabs)
> kp = sample(1:200, size = 120)
> rf1 = MLearn(sp ~ CL + RW, data = crabs, randomForestI, kp, ntree = 100)
> rf1

MLInterfaces classification output container

The call was:
MLearn(formula = sp ~ CL + RW, data = crabs, method = randomForestI, 
  trainInd = kp, ntree = 100)

Predicted outcome distribution for test set:

```
  B  O
44 36
```

> RObject(rf1)
Call:
randomForest(formula = formula, data = trdata, ntree = 100)
  Type of random forest: classification
  Number of trees: 100
No. of variables tried at each split: 1

OOB estimate of error rate: 37.5%
Confusion matrix:
  B  O  class.error
B 47 19 0.2878788
O 26 28 0.4814815

> knn1 = MLearn(sp ~ CL + RW, data = crabs, knnI(k = 3, l = 2), kp)
> knn1
MLInterfaces classification output container
The call was:
MLearn(formula = sp ~ CL + RW, data = crabs, method = knnI(k = 3, l = 2), trainInd = kp)
Predicted outcome distribution for test set:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>B</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary of scores on test set (use testScores() method for details):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>1st Qu.</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>3rd Qu.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>0.6667</td>
<td>0.6667</td>
<td>0.7865</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Making new interfaces

3.1 A simple example: ada

The ada method of the ada package has a formula interface and a predict method. We can create a learnerSchema on the fly, and then use it:

> adaI = makeLearnerSchema("ada", "ada", standardMLIConverter)
> arun = MLearn(sp ~ CL + RW, data = crabs, adaI, kp)
> confuMat(arun)

predicted
given  B  0
       B 27 7
       0 15 31
> RObject(arun)

Call:
ada(formula, data = trdata)

Loss: exponential Method: discrete Iteration: 50

Final Confusion Matrix for Data:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>True value</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Final Prediction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 55 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 17 37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Train Error: 0.233

Out-Of-Bag Error: 0.242 iteration = 44

Additional Estimates of number of iterations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>train.err1</th>
<th>train.kap1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is the standardMLIConverter?

> standardMLIConverter

function (obj, data, trainInd)
{
    teData = data[-trainInd, ]
    trData = data[trainInd, ]
    tepr = predict(obj, teData)
    trpr = predict(obj, trData)
    names(tepr) = rownames(teData)
    names(trpr) = rownames(trData)
    new("classifierOutput", testPredictions = factor(tepr), trainPredictions = factor(trpr), RObject = obj)
}
<environment: namespace:MLInterfaces>

3.2 A harder example: rda

The rda package includes the rda function for regularized discriminant analysis, and rda.cv for aiding in tuning parameter selection. No formula interface is supported,
and the predict method delivers arrays organized according to the tuning parameter space search. Bridge work needs to be done to support the use of MLearn with this methodology.

First we create a wrapper that uses formula-data for the rda.cv function:

```r
define a wrapper for a non-cross-validated call, where alpha and delta parameters are specified:

```r
define a predict method for that object. This has to deal with the fact that rda does not use factors.

```
> rdacvML = function(formula, data, ...) {
+   run1 = rdaCV(formula, data, ...)
+   perf.1se = cverrs(run1)$one.se.pos
+   del2keep = which.max(perf.1se[, 2])
+   parms2keep = perf.1se[del2keep, ]
+   alp = run1$alpha[parms2keep[1]]
+   del = run1$delta[parms2keep[2]]
+   fit = rdaFixed(formula, data, alpha = alp, delta = del, ...)
+   class(fit) = "rdacvML"
+   attr(fit, "xvalAns") = run1
+   fit
+ }

> predict.rdacvML = function(object, newdata, ...) {
+   newd = data.matrix(newdata)
+   fnames = rownames(object$x)
+   newd = newd[, fnames]
+   inds = predict(object$finalFit, object$x, object$resp.num,
+                   xnew = t(newd))
+   factor(levels(object$resp.fac)[inds])
+ }

> print.rdacvML = function(x, ...) {
+   cat("rdacvML S3 instance. components:
"
+   print(names(x))
+   cat("---
"
+   cat("elements of finalFit:
"
+   print(names(x$finalFit))
+   cat("---
"
+   cat("the rda.cv result is in the xvalAns attribute of the main object.
"
+ }

Finally, the converter can take the standard form; look at rdacvI.

4 Additional features

The xvalSpec class allows us to specify types of cross-validation, and to control carefully how partitions are formed. More details are provided in the MLprac2_2 vignette.
5 The MLearn approach to clustering and other forms of unsupervised learning

A learner schema for a clustering method needs to specify clearly the feature distance measure. We will experiment here. Our main requirements are

• ExpressionSets are the basic input objects

• The typical formula interface would be ~. but one can imagine cases where a factor from phenoData is specified as a ’response’ to color items, and this will be allowed

• a clusteringOutput class will need to be defined to contain the results, and it will propagate the result object from the native learning method.