Differential analysis of RNA-Seq data at the gene level using the DESeq2 package

Michael Love, Simon Anders, Wolfgang Huber

June 25, 2013

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Input data2.1Experiment data2.2Collapsing technical replicates	2 2 4
3	Running the DESeq2 pipeline3.1Preparing the data object for the analysis of interest3.2Running the pipeline3.3Inspecting the results table3.4Multiple testing3.5Diagnostic plots	8 9 9 10 12
4	Independent filtering4.1Adding gene namesAdding gene names	13 15
5	Downstream analyses5.1Gene set enrichment analysis5.2Nearest peak to a differentially expressed gene	17 17 20
6	Working with rlog-transformed data6.1The rlog transform6.2Sample distances6.3Gene clustering	23 23 25 27
7	Advanced Questions	28
8	Solutions	29
9	Session Info	32

1 Introduction

In this lab, you will learn how to analyse a count table, such as arising from a summarised RNA-Seq experiment, for differentially expressed genes.

2 Input data

2.1 Experiment data

We read in a prepared *SummarizedExperiment*, which was generated from publicly available data from the article by Felix Haglund et al., "Evidence of a Functional Estrogen Receptor in Parathyroid Adenomas", J Clin Endocrin Metab, Sep 2012, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 23024189. Details on the generation of this object can be found in the vignette for the *parathyroidSE* package, http://bioconductor.org/packages/release/data/experiment/html/parathyroidSE. html.

The purpose of the experiment was to investigate the role of the estrogen receptor in parathyroid tumors. The investigators derived primary cultures of parathyroid adenoma cells from 4 patients. These primary cultures were treated with diarylpropionitrile (DPN), an estrogen receptor β agonist, or with 4-hydroxytamoxifen (OHT). RNA was extracted at 24 hours and 48 hours from cultures under treatment and control. The blocked design of the experiment allows for statistical analysis of the treatment effects while controlling for patient-to-patient variation.

We first load the *DESeq2* package and the data package *parathyroidSE*, which contains the example data set.

```
> library( "DESeq2" )
> library( "parathyroidSE" )
```

The data command loads a data object.

```
> data("parathyroidGenesSE")
```

The information in a *SummarizedExperiment* object can be accessed with accessor functions. For example, to see the actual data, i.e., here, the read counts, we use the assay function. (The head function restricts the output to the first few lines.)

> head(assay(parathyroidGenesSE))

	[,1]	[,2]	[,3]	[,4]	[,5]	[,6]	[,7]	[,8]	[,9]	[,10]	[,11]	[,12]
ENSG0000000003	794	1064	444	952	518	855	414	365	278	1173	463	317
ENSG0000000005	4	1	2	3	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
ENSG0000000419	294	283	163	263	179	215	278	204	189	602	257	183
ENSG0000000457	156	185	93	144	75	122	228	172	116	421	182	122
ENSG0000000460	396	207	210	212	221	173	611	199	426	1390	287	417
ENSG0000000938	2	8	2	5	0	4	13	22	3	38	13	10
	[,13]	[,14] [,1	[5] [,16]	[,17]	[,18]	[,19	9] [,2	20] [,2	21] [,2	22]

ENSG0000000003	986	424	305	390	587	713	957	346	433	403
ENSG0000000005	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
ENSG0000000419	588	275	263	281	406	568	764	287	260	250
ENSG0000000457	441	211	131	115	196	265	347	133	168	148
ENSG0000000460	1452	239	187	103	389	294	780	162	85	339
ENSG0000000938	26	13	7	3	10	18	15	7	8	7
	[,23]	[,24]	[,25]	[,26]	[,27]					
ENSG0000000003	277	510	366	271	492					
ENSG0000000005	0	0	0	0	0					
ENSG0000000419	149	271	227	196	363					
ENSG0000000457	84	183	136	118	195					
ENSG0000000460	75	154	314	118	231					
ENSG0000000938	5	13	8	7	8					

In this count table, each row represents an Ensembl gene, each column a sequenced RNA library, and the values give the raw numbers of sequencing reads that were mapped to the respective gene in each library.

Question 1: For how many genes are there counts in this table?

We also have metadata on each of the samples (the "columns" of the count table):

> colData(parathyroidGenesSE)

Dat	aFrame with 27	rows and 9 o	columns				
	fileName	run	experiment	patient	treatment	time	submission
	<bamfilelist></bamfilelist>	<pre><character></character></pre>	<factor></factor>	<factor></factor>	<factor></factor>	<factor></factor>	<factor></factor>
1	########	SRR479052	SRX140503	1	Control	24h	SRA051611
2	########	SRR479053	SRX140504	1	Control	48h	SRA051611
3	########	SRR479054	SRX140505	1	DPN	24h	SRA051611
4	########	SRR479055	SRX140506	1	DPN	48h	SRA051611
5	########	SRR479056	SRX140507	1	OHT	24h	SRA051611
• • •				• • •		• • •	
23	########	SRR479074	SRX140523	4	DPN	48h	SRA051611
24	########	SRR479075	SRX140523	4	DPN	48h	SRA051611
25	########	SRR479076	SRX140524	4	OHT	24h	SRA051611
26	########	SRR479077	SRX140525	4	OHT	48h	SRA051611
27	########	SRR479078	SRX140525	4	OHT	48h	SRA051611
	study	sample					
	<factor> <f< td=""><td>actor></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></f<></factor>	actor>					
1	SRP012167 SRS	308865					
2	SRP012167 SRS	308866					
3	SRP012167 SRS	308867					
4	SRP012167 SRS	308868					
5	SRP012167 SRS	308869					
• • •							
23	SRP012167 SRS	308885					
24	SRP012167 SRS	308885					
25	SRP012167 SRS	308886					
26	SRP012167 SRS	308887					
27	SRP012167 SRS	308887					

Question 2: What are the metadata for the genes (the "rows" of the count table)?

2.2 Collapsing technical replicates

There are a number of samples which were sequenced in multiple runs. For example, sample SRS308873 was sequenced twice. To see, we list the respective columns of the colData. (The use of as.data.frame forces R to show us the full list, not just the beginning and the end as before.)

> as.data.frame(colData(parathyroidGenesSE)[,c("sample","patient","treatment","time")])

	sample	patient	treatment	time
1	SRS308865	1	Control	24h
2	SRS308866	1	Control	48h
3	SRS308867	1	DPN	24h
4	SRS308868	1	DPN	48h
5	SRS308869	1	OHT	24h
6	SRS308870	1	OHT	48h
7	SRS308871	2	Control	24h
8	SRS308872	2	Control	48h
9	SRS308873	2	DPN	24h
10	SRS308873	2	DPN	24h
11	SRS308874	2	DPN	48h
12	SRS308875	2	OHT	24h
13	SRS308875	2	OHT	24h
14	SRS308876	2	OHT	48h
15	SRS308877	3	Control	24h
16	SRS308878	3	Control	48h
17	SRS308879	3	DPN	24h
18	SRS308880	3	DPN	48h
19	SRS308881	3	OHT	24h
20	SRS308882	3	OHT	48h
21	SRS308883	4	Control	48h
22	SRS308884	4	DPN	24h
23	SRS308885	4	DPN	48h
24	SRS308885	4	DPN	48h
25	SRS308886	4	OHT	24h
26	SRS308887	4	OHT	48h
27	SRS308887	4	OHT	48h

We recommend to first add together technical replicates (i.e., libraries derived from the same samples), such that we have one column per sample.

As is often the case, this preparatory step looks more complicated than the subsequent actual analysis. In fact, the following operations are not specific to *DESeq2*, but are specific preparations needed for this data set. To understand the general ideas of *DESeq2*, you could now skip to Section 3. What you will learn in the rest of this section is an example of a typical preparatory data manipulation task done with elementary R functions. Details on these can be found in general textbooks on R; also consider reading the help pages of the functions used.

We first use the function split to see which columns need to be collapsed.

> allColSamples <- colData(parathyroidGenesSE)\$sample</pre>

> sp <- split(seq(along=allColSamples), colData(parathyroidGenesSE)\$sample)</pre>

Using sapply, we loop over the elements of sp, which correspond to the distinct samples, construct subtables of the count table (i.e., assay(parathyroidGenesSE)) corresponding only to the current sample considered, and add up across rows if there is more than one column. The result of the sapply call is a new table, in which each column now corresponds to a different sample.

```
> countdata <- sapply(sp, function(columns)</pre>
```

```
+ rowSums( assay(parathyroidGenesSE)[,columns,drop=FALSE] ) )
```

```
> head(countdata)
```

	SRS308865	SRS308866	SRS308867	SRS308868	SRS308869	SRS308870
ENSG0000000003	794	1064	444	952	518	855
ENSG0000000005	4	1	2	3	3	1
ENSG0000000419	294	283	163	263	179	215
ENSG0000000457	156	185	93	144	75	122
ENSG0000000460	396	207	210	212	221	173
ENSG0000000938	2	8	2	5	0	4
	SRS308871	SRS308872	SRS308873	SRS308874	SRS308875	SRS308876
ENSG0000000003	414	365	1451	463	1303	424
ENSG0000000005	0	1	0	0	0	0
ENSG0000000419	278	204	791	257	771	275
ENSG0000000457	228	172	537	182	563	211
ENSG0000000460	611	199	1816	287	1869	239
ENSG0000000938	13	22	41	13	36	13
	SRS308877	SRS308878	SRS308879	SRS308880	SRS308881	SRS308882
ENSG0000000003		SRS308878 390	SRS308879 587	SRS308880 713	SRS308881 957	SRS308882 346
ENSG00000000003 ENSG00000000005						
	305	390	587	713	957	346
ENSG0000000005	305 0	390 0	587 0	713 0	957 1	346 0
ENSG0000000005 ENSG00000000419	305 0 263	390 0 281	587 0 406	713 0 568	957 1 764	346 0 287
ENSG00000000005 ENSG00000000419 ENSG00000000457	305 0 263 131	390 0 281 115	587 0 406 196	713 0 568 265	957 1 764 347	346 0 287 133
ENSG00000000005 ENSG00000000419 ENSG00000000457 ENSG00000000460	305 0 263 131 187 7	390 0 281 115 103 3	587 0 406 196 389	713 0 568 265 294 18	957 1 764 347 780 15	346 0 287 133 162
ENSG00000000005 ENSG00000000419 ENSG00000000457 ENSG00000000460	305 0 263 131 187 7 SRS308883	390 0 281 115 103 3	587 0 406 196 389 10	713 0 568 265 294 18	957 1 764 347 780 15	346 0 287 133 162
ENSG00000000005 ENSG00000000419 ENSG00000000457 ENSG00000000460 ENSG00000000938	305 0 263 131 187 7 SRS308883	390 0 281 115 103 3 SRS308884	587 0 406 196 389 10 SRS308885	713 0 568 265 294 18 SRS308886	957 1 764 347 780 15 SRS308887	346 0 287 133 162
ENSG0000000005 ENSG0000000419 ENSG0000000457 ENSG00000000460 ENSG00000000938 ENSG0000000003	305 0 263 131 187 7 SRS308883 433	390 0 281 115 103 3 SRS308884 403	587 0 406 196 389 10 SRS308885 787	713 0 568 265 294 18 SRS308886 366	957 1 764 347 780 15 SRS308887 763	346 0 287 133 162
ENSG0000000005 ENSG0000000419 ENSG0000000457 ENSG00000000460 ENSG00000000938 ENSG0000000003 ENSG00000000003	305 0 263 131 187 7 SRS308883 433 0	390 0 281 115 103 3 SRS308884 403 0	587 0 406 196 389 10 SRS308885 787 0	713 0 568 265 294 18 SRS308886 366 0	957 1 764 347 780 15 SRS308887 763 0	346 0 287 133 162
ENSG0000000005 ENSG0000000419 ENSG0000000457 ENSG00000000460 ENSG00000000938 ENSG00000000003 ENSG0000000005 ENSG00000000419	305 0 263 131 187 7 SRS308883 433 0 260	390 0 281 115 103 3 SRS308884 403 0 250	587 0 406 196 389 10 SRS308885 787 0 420	713 0 568 265 294 18 SRS308886 366 0 227	957 1 764 347 780 15 SRS308887 763 0 559	346 0 287 133 162

Novice users might find the preceding two code chunks difficult. Of course, there is a much easier way to add up the columns, namely by explicitly specifying the indices of the columns we want to use as is and the columns we want to add up, and using cbind to bind all the columns to a matrix:

```
> a <- assay(parathyroidGenesSE)
> countdata2 <- cbind( a[,1:8], a[,9]+a[,10], a[,11],
+     a[,12]+a[,13], a[,14:22], a[,23]+a[,24], a[,25], a[,26]+a[,27] )
> all( countdata == countdata2 )
     [1] TRUE
```

While this is simpler to understand, it is more error-prone. Mistakes can easily happen when determining the column indices, and it is tedious to update the code if the input data changes, for instance, if at a later time you would like to add more replicates to your data set. Hence, if you are a beginner in R and want to improve your R skills, try to understand how the split and the sapply calls above work, because only learning to master such expressions will give you the skills to make full use of R.

Having reduced our count data table to only one column per sample, we next need to subset the column metadata accordingly, as we now have less columns. We also now use the sample names as names for the column data rows:

```
> coldata <- colData(parathyroidGenesSE)[sapply(sp, `[`, 1),]</pre>
> rownames(coldata) <- coldata$sample</pre>
> coldata
  DataFrame with 23 rows and 9 columns
                 fileName
                                  run experiment patient treatment
                                                                         time
            <BamFileList> <character> <factor> <factor>
                                                           <factor> <factor>
  SRS308865
                 ########
                          SRR479052 SRX140503
                                                         1
                                                             Control
                                                                          24h
                            SRR479053 SRX140504
                 ########
                                                                          48h
  SRS308866
                                                         1
                                                             Control
                 ########
                            SRR479054 SRX140505
                                                         1
                                                                 DPN
                                                                          24h
  SRS308867
  SRS308868
                 ########
                            SRR479055 SRX140506
                                                         1
                                                                 DPN
                                                                          48h
                            SRR479056 SRX140507
  SRS308869
                 ########
                                                         1
                                                                 OHT
                                                                          24h
  . . .
                                  . . .
                                                       . . .
                                                                 . . .
                                                                          . . .
                      . . .
                                              . . .
  SRS308883
                 ########
                            SRR479072 SRX140521
                                                         4
                                                           Control
                                                                          48h
                 ########
                            SRR479073 SRX140522
                                                         4
                                                                 DPN
  SRS308884
                                                                          24h
                            SRR479074 SRX140523
                                                        4
                                                                          48h
  SRS308885
                 ########
                                                                 DPN
  SRS308886
                 ########
                            SRR479076 SRX140524
                                                         4
                                                                 OHT
                                                                          24h
                                                         4
  SRS308887
                 ########
                            SRR479077 SRX140525
                                                                 OHT
                                                                          48h
            submission
                           study
                                    sample
              <factor> <factor> <factor>
             SRA051611 SRP012167 SRS308865
  SRS308865
  SRS308866
             SRA051611 SRP012167 SRS308866
  SRS308867
             SRA051611 SRP012167 SRS308867
  SRS308868
             SRA051611 SRP012167 SRS308868
  SRS308869
             SRA051611 SRP012167 SRS308869
  . . .
                   . . .
                             . . .
                                        . . .
  SRS308883
             SRA051611 SRP012167 SRS308883
  SRS308884 SRA051611 SRP012167 SRS308884
  SRS308885
             SRA051611 SRP012167 SRS308885
             SRA051611 SRP012167 SRS308886
  SRS308886
             SRA051611 SRP012167 SRS308887
  SRS308887
```

Question 3: What do the quotation marks in the expression '[' do? What happens if you omit them?

To unclutter the output in the subsequent steps, we only keep the column data columns that we actually need for our analysis.

		<factor></factor>	<factor></factor>	<factor></factor>
SF	RS308865	1	Control	24h
SF	RS308866	1	Control	48h
SF	RS308867	1	DPN	24h
SF	RS308868	1	DPN	48h
SF	RS308869	1	OHT	24h
SF	RS308870	1	OHT	48h

Our *SummarizedExperiment* object also contains metadata on the rows, which we can simply keep unchanged:

```
> rowdata <- rowData(parathyroidGenesSE)</pre>
> rowdata
  GRangesList of length 60620:
  $ENSG000000003
  GRanges with 17 ranges and 2 metadata columns:
         seqnames
                                ranges strand
                                                exon_id
                                                                   exon_name
            <Rle>
                             <IRanges> <Rle>
                                                 | <integer>
                                                                 <character>
     [1]
                X [99883667, 99884983]
                                                      653684 ENSE00001459322
                                            _
                                                 X [99885756, 99885863]
     [2]
                                                 653685 ENSE00000868868
                X [99887482, 99887565]
     [3]
                                                653686 ENSE00000401072
     [4]
                X [99887538, 99887565]
                                                 653687 ENSE00001849132
                                             _
     [5]
                X [99888402, 99888536]
                                             _
                                                 653688 ENSE00002890912
     . . .
                                                         . . .
              . . .
                                              . . .
                                                                          . . .
    [13]
                X [99890555, 99890743]
                                                 653696 ENSE00002799002
                X [99891188, 99891686]
    [14]
                                                653697 ENSE00001886883
    [15]
                X [99891605, 99891803]
                                                 653698 ENSE00001855382
                                             _
                X [99891790, 99892101]
                                                 [16]
                                             _
                                                      653699 ENSE00001863395
    [17]
                X [99894942, 99894988]
                                               653700 ENSE00001828996
  <60619 more elements>
  seqlengths:
                   1
                                     2 . . .
                                                      LRG_98
                                                                         LRG_99
                                                        18750
                                                                          13294
           249250621
                             243199373 ...
```

We now have all the ingredients to prepare our data object in a form that is suitable for analysis, namely:

- countdata: a table with the read counts, with technical replicates summed up,
- coldata: a table with metadata on the count table's columns, i.e., on the samples,
- rowdata: a table with metadata on the count table's rows, i.e., on the genes, and
- a design formula, which tells which factors in the column metadata table specify the experimental design and how these factors should be used in the analysis. We specify ~ patient + treatment, which means that we want to test for the effect of treatment (the last factor), controlling for the effect of patient (the first factor). You can use R's formula notation to express any experimental design that can be described within an ANOVA-like framework.

To now construct the data object from the matrix of counts and the metadata table, we use:

```
> ddsFull <- DESeqDataSetFromMatrix(</pre>
+
    countData = countdata,
  colData = coldata,
+
  design = ~ patient + treatment,
+
   rowData = rowdata)
> ddsFull
  class: DESeqDataSet
  dim: 60620 23
  exptData(0):
  assays(1): counts
  rownames(60620): ENSG000000003 ENSG000000005 ... LRG_98 LRG_99
  rowData metadata column names(0):
  colnames(23): SRS308865 SRS308866 ... SRS308886 SRS308887
  colData names(3): patient treatment time
```

3 Running the DESeq2 pipeline

Here we will analyze a subset of the samples, namely those taken after 48 hours, with either control or DPN treatment, taking into account the multifactor design.

3.1 Preparing the data object for the analysis of interest

First we subset the relevant columns from the full dataset:

```
> dds <- ddsFull[ , colData(ddsFull)$treatment %in% c("Control","DPN") &
+ colData(ddsFull)$time == "48h" ]</pre>
```

Sometimes it is necessary to "refactor" the factors, in case that levels have been dropped. (Here, for example, the treatment factor still contains the level "OHT", but no sample to this level.)

```
> dds$patient <- factor(dds$patient)
> dds$treatment <- factor(dds$treatment)</pre>
```

It will be convenient to make sure that Control is the *first* level in the treatment factor, so that the \log_2 fold changes are calculated as treatment over control. The function relevel achieves this:

> dds\$treatment <- relevel(dds\$treatment, "Control")</pre>

A quick check whether we now have the right samples:

```
> colData(dds)
```

DataFrame	with 8 ro	ows and 3 d	columns
	patient	treatment	time
	<factor></factor>	<factor></factor>	<factor></factor>
SRS308866	1	Control	48h
SRS308868	1	DPN	48h
SRS308872	2	Control	48h
SRS308874	2	DPN	48h
SRS308878	3	Control	48h
SRS308880	3	DPN	48h
SRS308883	4	Control	48h
SRS308885	4	DPN	48h

3.2 Running the pipeline

With the data object prepared, the *DESeq2* analysis can now be run with a single call to the function DESeq:

> dds <- DESeq(dds)</pre>

3.3 Inspecting the results table

The results for the last variable in the design formula, in our case the treatment variable, can be extracted using the results function.

```
> res <- results(dds)
> res
```

DataFrame with 6	60620 rows	and 5 columns			
	baseMean	log2FoldChange	lfcSE	pvalue	padj
	<numeric></numeric>	<numeric></numeric>	<numeric></numeric>	<numeric></numeric>	<numeric></numeric>
ENSG0000000003	622.683	-0.0216	0.0607	0.72174	0.9756
ENSG0000000005	0.678	0.0208	0.2043	0.91886	0.9896
ENSG0000000419	300.193	-0.0165	0.0764	0.82934	0.9800
ENSG0000000457	183.605	-0.0972	0.1085	0.37048	0.9340
ENSG0000000460	200.703	0.3517	0.1107	0.00149	0.0905
•••					
LRG_94	0	NA	NA	NA	NA
LRG_96	0	NA	NA	NA	NA
LRG_97	0	NA	NA	NA	NA
LRG_98	0	NA	NA	NA	NA
LRG_99	0	NA	NA	NA	NA

As res is a DataFrame object, it carries metadata with information on the meaning of the columns:

> mcols(res)

```
DataFrame with 5 rows and 2 columns
          type
                                                     description
   <character>
                                                     <character>
1 intermediate
                                    the base mean over all rows
2
       results log2 fold change (MAP): treatment DPN vs Control
3
                       standard error: treatment DPN vs Control
       results
4
       results
                            Wald test: treatment DPN vs Control
5
                   Wald test, BH adj.: treatment DPN vs Control
       results
```

The first column, baseMean, is a just the average of the normalized count values, taken over all samples. The remaining four columns refer to a specific *contrast*, namely the comparison of the levels *DPN* versus *Control* of the factor variable *treatment*. See the help page for results (by typing ?results) for information on how to obtain other contrasts.

The column log2FoldChange is the effect size estimate. It tells us how much the gene's expression seems to have changed due to treatment with DPN in comparison to control. This value is reported on a logarithmic scale to base 2: for example, a \log_2 fold change of 1.5 means that the gene's expression is increased by a factor of $2^{1.5} \approx 2.82$.

Of course, this estimate has an uncertainty associated with it, which is available in the column lfcSE, the standard error estimate for the log2 fold change estimate. We can also express the uncertainty of a particular effect size estimate as the result of a statistical test. The purpose of a test for differential expression is to test whether the data provides sufficient evidence to conclude that this value is really different from zero (and that the sign is correct). DESeq2 performs for each gene a *hypothesis test* to see whether evidence is sufficient to decide against the *null hypothesis* that there is no effect of the treatment on the gene and that the observed difference between treatment and control was merely caused by experimental variability (i.e., the type of variability that you can just as well expect between different samples in the same treatment group). As usual in statistics, the result of this test is reported as a *p value*, and it is found in the column pvalue. (Remember that a p value indicates the probability that a fold change as strong as the observed one, or even stronger, would be seen under the situation described by the null hypothesis.)

Finally, we note that a subset of the p values in res are NA ("not available"). This is DESeq's way of reporting that all counts for this gene were zero, and hence not test was applied.

Question 4: How could you check to see if the baseMean is the mean of raw counts or the mean of normalized counts?

3.4 Multiple testing

Novices in high-throughput biology often assume that thresholding these p values at 0.05, as is often done in other settings, would be appropriate – but it is not. We briefly explain why:

There are 1957 genes with a p value below 0.05 among the 31523 genes, for which the test succeeded in reporting a p value:

```
> sum( res$pvalue < 0.05, na.rm=TRUE )
| [1] 1957
> sum( is.na(res$pvalue) )
```

[1] 31523

Now, assume for a moment that the null hypothesis is true for all genes, i.e., no gene is affected by the treatment with DPN. Then, by the definition of *p value*, we expect up to 5% of the genes to have a p value below 0.05. This amounts to 1455 genes. If we just considered the list of genes with a p value below 0.05 as differentially expressed, this list should therefore be expected to contain up to 1455/1957 = 74% false positives!

DESeq2 uses the so-called Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) adjustment; in brief, this method calculates for each gene an *adjusted p value* which answers the following question: if one called significant all genes with a p value less than or equal to this gene's p value threshold, what would be the fraction of false positives (the *false discovery rate*, FDR) among them (in the sense of the calculation outlined above)? These values, called the BH-adjusted p values, are given in the column padj of the results object.

Hence, if we consider a fraction of 10% false positives acceptable, we can consider all genes with an *adjusted* p value below 10%=0.1 as significant. How many such genes are there?

```
> sum( res$padj < 0.1, na.rm=TRUE )
| [1] 505</pre>
```

We subset the results table to these genes and then sort it by the log2-fold-change estimate to get the significant genes with the strongest down-regulation

```
> resSig <- res[ which(res$padj < 0.1 ), ]</pre>
> head( resSig[ order( resSig$log2FoldChange ), ] )
  DataFrame with 6 rows and 5 columns
                   baseMean log2FoldChange
                                                lfcSE
                                                          pvalue
                                                                      padj
                  <numeric>
                                  <numeric> <numeric> <numeric> <numeric> <numeric>
  ENSG00000180616
                       4.56
                                     -1.097
                                                0.330
                                                       8.83e-04 6.17e-02
                                                       1.41e-03 8.70e-02
  ENSG00000183798
                       4.56
                                     -1.035
                                                0.324
  ENSG00000230069
                       6.55
                                     -1.026
                                                0.321
                                                        1.39e-03 8.60e-02
  ENSG00000170122
                      18.68
                                     -1.005
                                                0.276
                                                        2.76e-04 2.60e-02
  ENSG00000146250
                      29.39
                                     -0.956
                                                0.211
                                                        5.83e-06 1.26e-03
  ENSG00000163631
                     268.91
                                     -0.940
                                                0.106 9.45e-19 2.75e-15
```

and with the strongest upregulation

```
> tail( resSig[ order( resSig$log2FoldChange ), ] )
```

DataFrame with 6	δ rows and	5 columns			
	baseMean	log2FoldChange	lfcSE	pvalue	padj
	<numeric></numeric>	<numeric></numeric>	<numeric></numeric>	<numeric></numeric>	<numeric></numeric>
ENSG00000156414	137.0	0.733	0.139	1.38e-07	5.13e-05
ENSG00000236279	68.1	0.752	0.174	1.48e-05	2.63e-03
ENSG00000103257	169.7	0.771	0.146	1.35e-07	5.08e-05
ENSG00000165084	52.3	0.772	0.197	9.03e-05	1.14e-02
ENSG00000169174	30.3	0.893	0.253	4.26e-04	3.57e-02
ENSG00000128165	42.7	0.900	0.228	7.65e-05	9.84e-03

Question 5: What is the proportion of down- and up-regulation among the genes with adjusted p value less than 0.1?

3.5 Diagnostic plots

A so-called MA plot provides a useful overview for an experiment with a two-group comparison:

```
> plotMA(dds, ylim = c( -1.5, 1.5 ) )
```

The plot (Fig. 1) represents each gene with a dot. The x axis is the average expression over all samples, the y axis the \log_2 fold change between treatment and control. Genes with an adjusted p value below a threshold (here 0.1, the default) are shown in red.



mean of normalized counts

Figure 1: The MA-plot shows the \log_2 fold changes from the treatment over the mean of normalized counts, i.e. the average of counts normalized by size factor. The *DESeq2* package incorporates a prior on \log_2 fold changes, resulting in moderated estimates from genes with low counts and highly variable counts, as can be seen by the narrowing of spread of points on the left side of the plot.

This plot demonstrates that only genes with an average normalized count above 10 contain sufficient information to yield a significant call, and only above about 300 counts can smaller fold-changes become significant.

Also note *DESeq2*'s shrinkage estimation of log fold changes (LFCs): When count values are too low to allow an accurate estimate of the LFC, the value is "shrunken" towards zero to avoid that these values, which otherwise would frequently be unrealistically large, dominate the top-ranked log fold changes.

Whether a gene is called significant depends not only on its LFC but also on its within-group variability, which *DESeq2* quantifies as the *dispersion*. For strongly expressed genes, the dispersion can be understood as a squared coefficient of variation: a dispersion value of 0.01 means that the gene's expression tends to differ by typically $\sqrt{0.01} = 10\%$ between samples of the same treatment group. For weak genes, the Poisson noise is an additional source of noise, which is added to the dispersion.

The function plotDispEsts visualizes *DESeq2*'s dispersion estimates:

```
> plotDispEsts( dds )
```



Figure 2: Plot of dispersion estimates. See text for details

The black dots are the dispersion estimates for each gene as obtained by considering the information from each gene separately. Unless one has many samples, these values fluctuate strongly around their true values. Therefore, we fit the red trend line, which shows the dispersions' dependence on the mean, and then shrink each gene's estimate towards the red line to obtain the final estimates (blue circles) that are then used in the hypothesis test.

Question 6: How could you change the MA-plot so as to color those genes with adjusted p-value less than 0.5 instead of 0.1?

Another useful diagnostic plot is the histogram of the p values (Fig. 3).

> hist(res\$pvalue, breaks=100

Question 7: Revisit the discussion about p values and multiple testing in the previous section. Which part of the histogram is caused by genes that are called significant? And which part is caused by those that are truly significant? Why are there "spikes" at intermediate values?

)

4 Independent filtering

The MA plot (Figure 1) highlights an important property of RNA-Seq data. For weakly expressed genes, we have no chance of seeing differential expression, because the low read counts suffer from so



Figure 3: Histogram of the p values returned by the test for differential expression.

high Poisson noise that any biological effect is drowned in the uncertainties from the read counting. The MA plot suggests that for genes with less than one or two counts per sample, averaged over all samples, there is no real inferential power. We loose little if we filter out these genes:

Note that none of the genes below the threshold had a significant adjusted p value

```
> min( res$padj[!keep], na.rm=TRUE )
| [1] 0.421
```

At first sight, there may seem to be little benefit in filtering out these genes. After all, the test found them to be non-significant anyway. However, these genes have an influence on the multiple testing adjustment, whose performance improves if such genes are removed. Compare:

```
> table( p.adjust( res$pvalue, method="BH" ) < .1 )
   FALSE TRUE
   28592 505</pre>
```

```
> table( p.adjust( res$pvalue[keep], method="BH" ) < .1 )
    FALSE TRUE
    17927 631</pre>
```

By removing the weakly-expressed genes from the input to the FDR procedure, we have found more genes to be significant among those which we kept, and so improved the power of our test. This approach is known as *independent filtering*.

The term *independent* highlights an important caveat. Such filtering is permissible only if the filter criterion is independent of the actual test statistic [1]. Otherwise, the filtering would invalidate the test and consequently the assumptions of the BH procedure. This is why we filtered on the average over *all* samples: this filter is blind to the assignment of samples to the treatment and control group and hence independent.

Question 8: Redo the histogram as in Figure 3, now only using the genes that passed the filtering. What happened to the spikes at intermediate values?

4.1 Adding gene names

Our result table only uses Ensembl gene IDs, but gene names may be more informative. Bioconductor's annotation packages help with mapping various ID schemes to each other.

We load the annotation package *org.Hs.eg.db*:

> library("org.Hs.eg.db")

This is the organism annotation package ("org") for *Homo sapiens* ("Hs"), organized as an *Annota-tionDbi* package ("db"), using Entrez Gene IDs ("eg") as primary key.

To get a list of all available key types, use

```
> cols(org.Hs.eg.db)
   [1] "ENTREZID"
                       "PFAM"
                                       "IPI"
                                                        "PROSITE"
                                                                        "ACCNUM"
   [6] "ALIAS"
                       "CHR"
                                                                        "ENZYME"
                                        "CHRLOC"
                                                        "CHRLOCEND"
  [11] "MAP"
                       "PATH"
                                       "PMID"
                                                        "REFSEQ"
                                                                        "SYMBOL"
  [16] "UNIGENE"
                       "ENSEMBL"
                                        "ENSEMBLPROT"
                                                        "ENSEMBLTRANS"
                                                                        "GENENAME"
  [21] "UNIPROT"
                       "GO"
                                                        "ONTOLOGY"
                                                                        "GOALL"
                                        "EVIDENCE"
  [26] "EVIDENCEALL"
                       "ONTOLOGYALL"
                                       "OMIM"
                                                        "UCSCKG"
```

Converting IDs with the native functions from the *AnnotationDbi* package is currently a bit cumbersome, so we provide the following convenience function (without explaining how exactly it works):

```
> convertIDs <- function( ids, fromKey, toKey, db, ifMultiple=c( "putNA", "useFirst" ) ) {
+ stopifnot( inherits( db, "AnnotationDb" ) )
+ ifMultiple <- match.arg( ifMultiple )
+ suppressWarnings( selRes <- AnnotationDbi::select(
+ db, keys=ids, keytype=fromKey, cols=c(fromKey,toKey) ) )
+ if( ifMultiple == "putNA" ) {</pre>
```

```
+ duplicatedIds <- selRes[ duplicated( selRes[,1] ), 1 ]
+ selRes <- selRes[ ! selRes[,1] %in% duplicatedIds, ] }
+ return( selRes[ match( ids, selRes[,1] ), 2 ] )
+ }</pre>
```

This function takes a list of IDs as first argument and their key type as the second argument. The third argument is the key type we want to convert to, the fourth is the *AnnotationDb* object to use. Finally, the last argument specifies what to do if one source ID maps to several target IDs: should the function return an NA or simply the first of the multiple IDs?

To convert the Ensembl IDs in the rownames of res to gene symbols and add them as a new column, we use:

```
> res$symbol <- convertIDs( row.names(res), "ENSEMBL", "SYMBOL", org.Hs.eg.db )</pre>
> res
  DataFrame with 60620 rows and 6 columns
                    baseMean log2FoldChange
                                                  lfcSE
                                                           pvalue
                                                                        padj
                   <numeric>
                                   <numeric> <numeric> <numeric> <numeric>
  ENSG000000003
                     622.683
                                     -0.0216
                                                0.0607
                                                          0.72174
                                                                      0.9756
  ENSG0000000005
                       0.678
                                      0.0208
                                                0.2043
                                                          0.91886
                                                                      0.9896
  ENSG0000000419
                     300.193
                                     -0.0165
                                                0.0764
                                                          0.82934
                                                                      0.9800
  ENSG0000000457
                     183.605
                                     -0.0972
                                                0.1085
                                                          0.37048
                                                                      0.9340
  ENSG0000000460
                                                0.1107
                     200.703
                                      0.3517
                                                          0.00149
                                                                      0.0905
  . . .
                                                    . . .
                                                                         . . .
                          . . .
                                          . . .
                                                               . . .
  LRG_94
                           0
                                          NA
                                                     NA
                                                               NA
                                                                          NA
  LRG_96
                           0
                                          NA
                                                     NA
                                                               NA
                                                                          NA
                           0
                                                     NA
  LRG_97
                                          NA
                                                               NA
                                                                          NA
                           0
  LRG_98
                                          NA
                                                     NA
                                                               NA
                                                                          NΑ
                           0
  LRG_99
                                          NA
                                                     NA
                                                               NA
                                                                          NΑ
                        symbol
                   <character>
  ENSG000000003
                        TSPAN6
  ENSG0000000005
                          TNMD
  ENSG0000000419
                          DPM1
  ENSG0000000457
                         SCYL3
  ENSG0000000460
                      Clorf112
  LRG_94
                            NΑ
  LRG_96
                            NA
  LRG_97
                            NΑ
  LRG_98
                            ΝA
  LRG_99
                            NA
```

Finally, we note that you can easily save the results table in a CSV file, which you can then load with a spreadsheet program such as Excel:

> write.csv(as.data.frame(res), file="results.csv")

5 Downstream analyses

A list of gene names is no final result. We demonstrate two possible further analysis steps.

5.1 Gene set enrichment analysis

Do the genes with a strong up- or down-regulation have something in common? We perform next a gene-set enrichment analysis (GSEA) to examine this question.

We use the gene sets in the Reactome database

```
> library( "reactome.db" )
```

This database works with Entrez IDs, so we add a column with such IDs, using our convertIDs function:

> res\$entrez <- convertIDs(row.names(res), "ENSEMBL", "ENTREZID", org.Hs.eg.db)</pre>

Next, we subset the results table, res, to only those genes for which the Reactome database has data (i.e, whose Entrez ID we find in the respective key column of reactome.db) and for which the test gave a p value that was not NA.

+	res2 <- res[res !is.na(res\$] head(res2)		% keys(reacto	ome.db, "EN	ITREZID")	&
	DataFrame with (6 rows and 7	columns			
		baseMean l	og2FoldChange	lfcSE	pvalue	padj
		<numeric></numeric>	<numeric></numeric>	<numeric></numeric>	<numeric></numeric>	<numeric></numeric>
	ENSG0000000419	300.2	-0.01646	0.0764	0.829	0.980
	ENSG0000000938	12.1	-0.00527	0.3209	0.987	0.997
	ENSG0000000971	19.8	-0.38114	0.2683	0.155	0.934
	ENSG0000001084	323.3	0.04419	0.0935	0.637	0.953
	ENSG0000001167	412.6	-0.05684	0.1018	0.576	0.934
	ENSG0000001626	10.2	0.22318	0.3119	0.474	0.934
		symbol	entrez			
		<character></character>	<pre><character></character></pre>			
	ENSG0000000419	DPM1	8813			
	ENSG0000000938	FGR	, 2268			
	ENSG0000000971	CFH	3075			
	ENSG0000001084	GCLC	2729			
	ENSG0000001167	NFYA	4800			
	ENSG0000001626	CFTR	. 1080			

Using select, a function from *AnnotationDbi* for querying database objects, we get a table with the mapping from Entrez IDs to Reactome Path IDs

```
> reactomeTable <- AnnotationDbi::select( reactome.db, keys=res2$entrez,
+ keytype="ENTREZID", cols=c("ENTREZID", "REACTOMEID") )
> head(reactomeTable)
ENTREZID REACTOMEID
1 8813 162699
2 8813 163125
3 8813 392499
```

0	0010	002400
4	8813	446193
5	8813	446203

```
6 8813 446219
```

The next code chunk transforms this table into an *incidence matrix*. This is a boolean matrix with one row for each Reactome Path and one column for each gene in res2, which tells us which genes are members of which Reactome Paths. (If you want to understand how this chunk exactly works, read up about the tapply function.)

```
> incm <- do.call( rbind, with(reactomeTable, tapply(
+ ENTREZID, factor(REACTOMEID), function(x) res2$entrez %in% x ) ))
> colnames(incm) <- res2$entrez
> str(incm)
logi [1:1439, 1:5296] FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE ...
- attr(*, "dimnames")=List of 2
..$ : chr [1:1439] "1059683" "109581" "109582" "109606" ...
..$ : chr [1:5296] "8813" "2268" "3075" "2729" ...
```

We remove all rows corresponding to Reactome Paths with less than 5 assigned genes.

```
> incm <- incm[ rowSums(incm) >= 5, ]
```

To test whether the genes in a Reactome Path behave in a special way in our experiment, we perform t-tests to see whether the average of the genes' \log_2 fold change values are different from zero. If so, we can say that our treatment tends to upregulate (or downregulate) the genes in the category. To facilitate the computations, we define a little helper function:

```
> testCategory <- function( reactomeID ) {</pre>
    isMember <- incm[ reactomeID, ]</pre>
+
    data.frame(
+
       reactomeID = reactomeID,
+
       numGenes = sum( isMember ),
+
       avgLFC = mean( res2$log2FoldChange[isMember] ),
+
       strength = sum( res2$log2FoldChange[isMember] ) / sqrt(sum(isMember)),
+
       pvalue = t.test( res2$log2FoldChange[ isMember ] )$p.value,
+
       reactomeName = reactomePATHID2NAME[[reactomeID]] ) }
```

The function can be called with a Reactome Path ID:

```
> testCategory("109581")
```

reactomeID numGenes avgLFC strength pvalue reactomeName 1 109581 146 -0.00887 -0.107 0.307 Homo sapiens: Apoptosis

As you can see the function not only performs the t test and returns the p value but also lists other useful information such as the number of genes in the category, the average log fold change, a "strength" measure (see below) and the name with which Reactome describes the Path.

We call the function for all Paths in our incidence matrix and collect the results in a data frame:

> reactomeResult <- do.call(rbind, lapply(rownames(incm), testCategory))</pre>

As we performed many tests, we should again use a multiple testing adjustment.

> reactomeResult\$padjust <- p.adjust(reactomeResult\$pvalue, "BH")</pre>

This is a list of Reactome Paths which are significantly differentially expressed in our comparison of DPN treatment with control, sorted according to sign and strength of the signal:

```
> reactomeResultSignif <- reactomeResult[ reactomeResult$padjust < 0.05, ]
> reactomeResultSignif[ order(reactomeResultSignif$strength), ]
```

	reactomeID	numGenes	avgLFC	strength	pvalue			
547	2032785	10	-0.1216	-0.385	3.59e-04			
1052	74159	136	-0.0318	-0.370	1.76e-04			
1030	73857	95	-0.0340	-0.332	3.62e-04			
435	189445	14	0.0949	0.355	2.75e-04			
463	191273	22	0.0997	0.468	1.77e-04			
534	2024096	21	0.1024	0.469	3.10e-04			
759	392499	484	0.0218	0.481	4.37e-04			
500	196849	52	0.0763	0.550	2.54e-04			
501	196854	52	0.0763	0.550	2.54e-04			
720	381070	47	0.0837	0.574	1.80e-04			
128	1430728	1264	0.0183	0.649	6.03e-05			
						reactomeName	padjust	
547	Homo sapi	iens: YAP1	l- and W	WTR1 (TAZ))-stimulat	ed gene expression	0.0426	
1052	2 Homo sapiens: Transcription							
1030	J I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I							
435	Homo sapiens: Metabolism of porphyrins							
463	Homo sapiens: Cholesterol biosynthesis 0. Homo sapiens: HS-GAG degradation 0.							
534	Homo sapiens: HS-GAG degradation							
759	Homo sapiens: Metabolism of proteins							
500	Homo sapiens: Metabolism of water-soluble vitamins and cofactors							
501	Homo sapiens: Metabolism of vitamins and cofactors							
720	Homo sapiens: Activation of Chaperones by IRE1alpha							
128	Homo sapiens: Metabolism 0.0426							

Note that such lists need to be interpreted with care, and a grain of salt. Which of these categories make sense, given the biology of the experiment?

5.2 Nearest peak to a differentially expressed gene

The RNA-Seq experiment analyzed above provides a list of genes which have responded to a selective estrogen-receptor-beta agonist. We can investigate whether we find estrogen receptor binding sites in the vicinity of the gene with the highest fold induction. In order to match differentially expressed genes to other experiment data, we will use annotated binding sites of estrogen receptor alpha from the ENCODE project. It is not necessarily the case that these annotated binding sites are actually functional in the cell lines of the RNA-Seq experiment or biologically relevant as the alpha and beta subtypes are distinct proteins transcribed from different genes; here we only use these binding site data for demonstration purposes.

Let us consider a particular gene with a low p value. The rowData function provides us with all the information about the gene model; each of the exons is represented as a *GRanges*, and these are tied together as a *GRangesList*. We use the function range to extract the entire range of the gene, from the start of the left-most exon to the end of the right-most exon. This is all the information we need in order to find the nearest binding site.

```
> deGeneID <- "ENSG00000099194"</pre>
> res[deGeneID,]
  DataFrame with 1 row and 7 columns
                    baseMean log2FoldChange
                                                  lfcSE
                                                            pvalue
                                                                        padj
                                   <numeric> <numeric> <numeric> <numeric>
                   <numeric>
  ENSG0000099194
                        8789
                                        0.42
                                                 0.0209 7.27e-90 2.12e-85
                        symbol
                                     entrez
                   <character> <character>
  ENSG0000099194
                           SCD
                                       6319
> deGene <- range(rowData(dds[deGeneID,])[[1]])</pre>
> names(deGene) <- deGeneID</pre>
> deGene
  GRanges with 1 range and 0 metadata columns:
                     seqnames
                                                ranges strand
                        <Rle>
                                             <IRanges>
                                                        <Rle>
                           10 [102106877, 102124591]
    ENSG0000099194
                                                             +
    ___
    seqlengths:
                                         2 ...
                                                           LRG_98
                                                                               LRG_99
                      1
              249250621
                                 243199373 ...
                                                             18750
                                                                                13294
```

We would like to compare the location of this gene with the location of annotated estrogen receptor binding sites, provided by the UCSC Genome Browser. We must first alter the sequence name (the chromosome name) of the differentially expressed gene, as the Ensembl gene annotation does not use the "chr" prefix, which the UCSC chromosomes are annotated with. (Note that we ignore here another complication, which is that the Ensembl sequence "MT" corresponds to the UCSC's sequence "chrM".) We use the paste0 function, which concatenates the character vectors provided without using any separating characters. We then create a range which is 10 Mb to the left and right of the start of the deGene object.

```
> as.character(seqnames(deGene))
```

```
[1] "10"
> ucscChrom <- paste0("chr",as.character(seqnames(deGene)))</pre>
> ucscRanges <- ranges(flank(deGene,width=10e6,both=TRUE))</pre>
> subsetRange <- GRanges(ucscChrom, ucscRanges)</pre>
> subsetRange
  GRanges with 1 range and 0 metadata columns:
                     seqnames
                                               ranges strand
                        <Rle>
                                           <IRanges>
                                                        <Rle>
    ENSG00000099194
                        chr10 [92106877, 112106876]
    ___
    seqlengths:
     chr10
        NΑ
```

We now provide code which would download a track from the UCSC Genome Browser, in our case a track containing transcription factor binding sites obtained from ChIP-Seq experiments across various cell lines, generated by the ENCODE project.

The track names and table names must match a track name provided by the UCSC Genome Browser. For more information on these steps, see the detailed instructions in the vignette of the useful Bioconductor package *rtracklayer*.

```
> ##
> ## Please do not run this code if you do not have an internet connection,
> ## alternatively use the local file import in the next code chunk.
> ##
> library( "rtracklayer" )
> trackName <- "wgEncodeRegTfbsClusteredV2"
> tableName <- "wgEncodeRegTfbsClusteredV2"
> trFactor <- "ERalpha_a"
> mySession <- browserSession()
> ucscTable <- getTable(ucscTableQuery(mySession, track=trackName,
+ range=subsetRange, table=tableName,
+ name=trFactor))</pre>
```

Here we use a locally cached copy of ucscTable:

```
> ucscTableFile <- "localUcscTable.csv"
> ucscTable <- read.csv(ucscTableFile, stringsAsFactors=FALSE)</pre>
```

We now can use the downloaded table of annotated estrogen receptor peaks. Whether to use a cutoff on the provided peak scores at this step, or what scores cutoff to use, depends on your experience with the specific transcription factor and the ChIP-Seq experiments used to define these peaks. It often makes sense to visualize tracks in a genome browser in order to get a sense of the qualitative difference between peaks of different scores.

We create a *GRanges* object, peaks, from the table obtained from UCSC, and then we convert the chromosome names back to the Ensembl style using the global substitute function, gsub. Finally, we enforce that the sequence levels of the peaks match the sequence levels of the differential expressed gene, which is necessary for performing the nearest matching in the following code chunk.

```
> peaks <- with(ucscTable, GRanges(chrom, IRanges(chromStart, chromEnd),
+ score=score))
> seqlevels(peaks) <- gsub("chr(.+)","\\1",seqlevels(peaks))
> seqlevels(peaks) <- seqlevels(deGene)</pre>
```

Now we have two *GRanges* objects, defined over the same chromosomes, so we can use the distanceToNearest function from the package *GRanges*. This provides a *Hits* object, which contains the matches between the "query" and the "subject", the first and second arguments to the function, as well as the distance from the query to the subject. As we only have a single query, there should only be one nearest range in the subject. See the documentation via ?distanceToNearest and ?Hits for more information on the options for the this matching step.

> d2nearest <- distanceToNearest(deGene, peaks)</pre>

Question 9: What is the distance from the differentially expressed gene to all the peaks?

We can now examine the object d2nearest. This tells us that the nearest peak is 44 base pairs from the differential expressed gene.

```
> d2nearest
```

```
Hits of length 1
queryLength: 1
subjectLength: 168
queryHits subjectHits distance
<integer> <integer> <integer> <integer> 1 1 118 44
```

The function subjectHits is used to extract the index of the closest hit in the peaks object.

```
> deGene
```

GRanges with 1 range and 0 metadata columns:										
seq	names		ranges	strand						
	<rle></rle>		<iranges></iranges>	<rle></rle>						
ENSG00000099194	10 [10210	06877,	102124591]	+						
seqlengths:										
1		2		LRG_98	LRG_99					
249250621	243:	199373	• • •	18750	13294					

> peaks[subjectHits(d2nearest)]

GRanges with 1 range and 1 metadata column: seqnames ranges strand | score <Rle> <IRanges> <Rle> | <integer> 10 [102124636, 102124912] [1] * | 76 ___ seqlengths: 2 ... LRG_99 1 LRG_{98} NA NA ... NA NA Is 44 base pairs unexpectedly close? Here we make a simple plot of the starting points of the peaks and gene along the chromosome, to get a sense of the distribution of peaks and how surprised we should be with the distance of the nearest. To identify the nearest peak, we construct a logical vector peakNearest, which can be used to change the y value and the color of the point corresponding to the nearest peak.

```
> plotRange <- start(deGene) + 1e6 * c(-1,1)
> peakNearest <- ( seq_along(peaks) == subjectHits(d2nearest) )
> plot(x=start(peaks), y=ifelse(peakNearest,.3,.2),
+ ylim=c(0,1), xlim=plotRange, pch='p',
+ col=ifelse(peakNearest,"red","grey60"),
+ yaxt="n", ylab="",
+ xlab=paste("2 Mb on chromosome",as.character(seqnames(deGene))))
> points(x=start(deGene),y=.8,pch='g')
```



Figure 4: A 2 Mb genomic range showing the location of the differentially expressed gene (labelled 'g'), and the peaks (labelled 'p'). As there are only 14 peaks spread over 2 Mb, it is surprising to find a peak 44 base pairs away from the differentially expressed gene.

Again, the biological relevance of the distances between peaks and genes is another matter, especially considering the data are from different sources. An important consideration when investigating the distribution of distances between two sets of genomic features, is how the individual sets cluster along the genome.

Question 10: Are the peaks relatively uniformly distributed?

6 Working with rlog-transformed data

6.1 The rlog transform

Many common statistical methods for exploratory analysis of multidimensional data, especially methods for clustering and ordination (e.g., principal-component analysis and the like), work best for (at least approximately) homoskedastic data; this means that the variance of an observable (i.e., here, the expression strength of a gene) does not depend on the mean. In RNA-Seq data, however, variance grows with the mean. For example, if one performs PCA directly on a matrix of normalized read counts, the result typically depends only on the few most strongly expressed genes because they show the largest absolute differences between samples. A simple and often used strategy to avoid this is to take the logarithm of the normalized count values; however, now the genes with low counts tend to dominate the results because, due to the strong Poisson noise inherent to small count values, they show the strongest relative differences between samples.

As a solution, *DESeq2* offers the *regularized-logarithm transformation*, or *rlog* for short. For genes with high counts, the rlog transformation differs not much from an ordinary \log_2 transformation. For genes with lower counts, however, the values are shrunken towards the genes' averages across all samples. Using an empirical Bayesian prior in the form of a *ridge penality*, this is done such that the rlog-transformed data are approximately homoskedastic.

The function rlogTransform returns a *SummarizedExperiment* object which contains the rlog-transformed values in its *assay* slot:

```
> rld <- rlogTransformation(dds)</pre>
```

```
> head( assay(rld) )
```

To show the effect of the transformation, we plot the first sample against the second, first simply using the log2 function (after adding 1, to avoid taking the log of zero), and then using the rlog-transformed values.



Figure 5: Scatter plot of sample 2 versus sample 1. Left: using an ordinary log_2 transformation. Right: Using the rlog transformation.

```
> par( mfrow = c( 1, 2 ) )
> plot( log2( 1+counts(dds, normalized=TRUE)[, 1:2] ), col="#00000020", pch=20, cex=0.3 )
> plot( assay(rld)[, 1:2], col="#00000020", pch=20, cex=0.3 )
```

Note that, in order to make it easier to see where several points are plotted on top of each other, we set the plotting color to a semi-transparent black (encoded as #00000020) and changed the points

to solid disks (pch=20) with reduced size $(cex=0.3)^1$.

In Figure 5, we can see how genes with low counts seem to be excessively variable on the ordinary logarithmic scale, while the rlog transform compresses differences for genes for which the data cannot provide good information anyway.

6.2 Sample distances

A useful first step in an RNA-Seq analysis is often to assess overall similarity between samples: Which samples are similar to each other, which are different? Does this fit to the expectation from the experiment's design?



Figure 6: Heatmap of Euclidean sample distances after rlog transformation.

We use the R function dist to calculate the Euclidean distance between samples. To avoid that the distance measure is dominated by a few highly variable genes, and have a roughly equal contribution from all genes, we use it on the rlog-transformed data:

SRS308866 SRS308868 SRS308872 SRS308874 SRS308878 SRS308880 SRS308883 SRS308872 107.5 111.8	> sampleDists <- dist(t(assay(rld))) > sampleDists											
SRS308872 107.5 111.8 SRS308874 106.8 108.9 65.2 SRS308878 120.5 125.6 121.6 123.5												
SRS308874 106.8 108.9 65.2 SRS308878 120.5 125.6 121.6 123.5												
SRS308878 120.5 125.6 121.6 123.5												
SRS308880 118.0 122.3 121.7 121.8 63.2												
SRS308883 115.5 120.2 114.6 116.4 99.4 98.2												
SRS308885 110.8 113.6 111.5 111.6 99.9 95.2 64.0												

¹The function heatscatter from the package LSD offers a colourful alternative.

Note the use of the function t to transpose the data matrix. We need this because dist calculates distances between data *rows* and our samples constitute the columns.

We visualize the distances in a heatmap, using the function heatmap.2 from the gplots package.

> library("gplots")

```
> heatmap.2( sampleDistMatrix, trace="none" )
```

Note that we have changed the row names of the distance matrix to contain treatment type and patient number instead of sample ID, so that we have all this information in view when looking at the heatmap (Fig. 6).



Figure 7: Principal components analysis (PCA) of samples after rlog transformation.

Question 11: Some people find the colour scheme used in Figure 6 ugly. Make a better version. *Hint:* Look at the sequential colour schemes in the *RColorBrewer* package and at the colorRamp-Palette function.

Another way to visualize sample-to-sample distances is a principal-components analysis (PCA). In this ordination method, the data points (i.e., here, the samples) are projected onto the 2D plane such that they spread out optimally (Fig. 7).

> print(plotPCA(rld, intgroup = c("patient", "treatment")))

Here, we have used the function plotPCA which comes with *DESeq2*. The two terms specified as intgroup are column names from our sample data; they tell the function to use them to choose colours.

From both visualizations, we see that the differences between patients is much larger than the difference between treatment and control samples of the same patient. This shows why it was important to account for this paired design ("paired", because each treated sample is paired with one control sample from the *same* patient). We did so by using the design formula !~ patient treatment! when setting up the data object in the beginning. Had we used an un-paired analysis, by specifying only ~ treatment, we would not have found many hits, because then, the patient-to-patient differences would have drowned out any treatment effects.

Here, we have performed this sample distance analysis towards the end of our analysis. In practice, however, this is a step suitable to give a first overview on the data. Hence, one will typically carry out this analysis as one of the first steps in an analysis. To this end, you may also find the function arrayQualityMetrics, from the equinymous package, useful.

6.3 Gene clustering

Figure 8: Heatmap with gene clustering.

In the heatmap of Fig. 6, the dendrogram at the side shows us a hierarchical clustering of the samples. Such a clustering can also be performed for the genes.

Since the clustering is only relevant for genes that actually carry signal, one usually carries it out only for a subset of most highly variable genes. Here, for demonstration, let us select the 35 genes with the highest variance across samples:

```
> library( "genefilter" )
> topVarGenes <- head( order( rowVars( assay(rld) ), decreasing=TRUE ), 35 )</pre>
```

The heatmap becomes more interesting if we do not look at absolute expression strength but rather at the amount by which each gene deviates in a specific sample from the gene's average across all samples. Hence, we center and scale each genes' values across samples, and plot a heatmap.

```
> heatmap.2( assay(rld)[ topVarGenes, ], scale="row",
+ trace="none", dendrogram="column",
+ col = colorRampPalette( rev(brewer.pal(9, "RdBu")) )(255))
```

We can now see (Fig. 8) blocks of genes which covary across patients. Often, such a heatmap is insightful, even though here, seeing these variations across patients is of limited value because we are rather interested in the effects between the two samples from each patient.

7 Advanced Questions

For these questions, we provide (and probably have) no solutions, advanced readers are encouraged to explore them.

- DESeq2 performs the shrinkage of the dispersion estimates by fitting a parametric curve on the mean of normalized counts (cf. Figure 2). However, one could argue that the biological variability of genes should not be a function of counts, but of counts per gene length (i. e., expression level), and that regression on that covariate should lead to a better fit. Write your own version of the estimateDispersions function to explore this question.
- 2. What is the contribution of UTR length variations to the between-replicates variability modelled by *DESeq2*? The read counting script (available in the vignette of *parathyroidSE*) uses all exons of the genes, which includes UTRs. Would detection power be increased –or would we preferentially detect different phenomena– if we left out UTRs from the counting (i. e. count reads that fall on coding exons only); or indeed, if we looked only at UTRs?

References

[1] Richard Bourgon, Robert Gentleman, and Wolfgang Huber. Independent filtering increases detection power for high-throughput experiments. *PNAS*, 107(21):9546–9551, 2010.

8 Solutions

Answer 1:

```
> nrow(parathyroidGenesSE)
```

```
[1] 60620
```

Answer 2:

```
> rowData( parathyroidGenesSE )
  GRangesList of length 60620:
  $ENSG000000003
  GRanges with 17 ranges and 2 metadata columns:
         seqnames
                                 ranges strand |
                                                      exon_id
                                                                    exon_name
            <Rle>
                              <IRanges> <Rle>
                                                 | <integer>
                                                                  <character>
     [1]
                X [99883667, 99884983]
                                             _
                                                 653684 ENSE00001459322
                X [99885756, 99885863]
     [2]
                                             _
                                                 653685 ENSE00000868868
     [3]
                X [99887482, 99887565]
                                                 653686 ENSE00000401072
                X [99887538, 99887565]
     [4]
                                                 653687 ENSE00001849132
     [5]
                X [99888402, 99888536]
                                             _
                                                 653688 ENSE00002890912
     . . .
              . . .
                                                          . . .
                                    . . .
                                                                           . . .
                                           . . .
                                               . . .
                X [99890555, 99890743]
                                                       653696 ENSE00002799002
    [13]
                                                 X [99891188, 99891686]
                                                 653697 ENSE00001886883
    [14]
                                             _
    [15]
                X [99891605, 99891803]
                                                 653698 ENSE00001855382
                X [99891790, 99892101]
    [16]
                                              -
                                                 653699 ENSE00001863395
    [17]
                X [99894942, 99894988]
                                             _
                                                 653700 ENSE00001828996
  . . .
  <60619 more elements>
  seqlengths:
                   1
                                      2 . . .
                                                       LRG_98
                                                                          LRG_99
           249250621
                              243199373 ...
                                                        18750
                                                                           13294
```

Answer 3:

The function sapply expects an R function as its second argument. Here, we want to provide it with the function for vector subsetting (as in a[1]), and the name of this function is [. However, if we provide that name without the quotation marks, the R interpreter gets confused and complains about the unexpected symbol (try this out). Hence we need to quote the function name in our call to sapply.

Answer 4: The raw counts and normalized counts of a *DESeqDataSet* object are available via the accessor function counts, which has an argument normalized, which defaults to FALSE.

> all.equal(res\$baseMean, rowMeans(counts(dds)))
| [1] "Mean relative difference: 0.058"
> all.equal(res\$baseMean, rowMeans(counts(dds,normalized=TRUE)))

[1] TRUE

Answer 5:

```
> table(sign(resSig$log2FoldChange))
   -1 1
   280 225
```

Answer 6:

> plotMA(dds, pvalCutoff = 0.5, ylim = c(-1.5, 1.5))

See Figure 9.



Figure 9: The MA-plot with red points indicating adjusted p value less than 0.5.

Answer 7: Genes that are not differentially expressed have p values that are approximately uniformly distributed between 0 and 1. This gives rise to the floor of bars of equal heights. The truly differentially expressed genes give rise to the tall bar(s) at the very left – but only to that part of the bars that raises above the uniform floor. Of course, we cannot know which of the genes in these tall bars are true ones and which are not. When only looking at the bars to the left of our chosen p value cut-off, the ratio of "floor" area to total area provides an estimate of the false discovery rate. This is a graphical way of understanding FDR.

The rule that p values from null cases are uniform is true only for continuous test statistics. However, for genes with low counts, the fact that we are working with integer counts becomes noticeable, and gives rise to the spikes at intermediate p values.

Answer 8: Run

```
> hist( res$pvalue[keep], breaks=100 )
```

See Figure 10. As explained before, the spikes were caused by genes with low counts. Having removed these, our p value histogram now looks smoother.



Histogram of res\$pvalue[keep]

Figure 10: Histogram of the p values returned by the test for differential expression.

In this vignette, we have determined the value for filterThreshold, 2, by looking at Figure 1. More formal, automatable ways exist; if you are interested, please have a look at the vignette *Diagnostics* for independent filtering in the genefilter package.

Answer 9:

```
> distance(deGene, peaks)
```

[1] 9475043 9429124 9425724 9416446 9378083 9377693 9292441 9147967 9052346 [10] 8985894 8790050 8757482 8746704 8742783 8675290 8674776 8664975 8656382 [19] 8482843 8223779 8221921 8135505 8001206 7455659 7085825 6942795 6925407 [28] 6901309 6888085 6884994 6881071 6880702 6864672 6780251 6775780 6605483 [37] 6599855 6596682 6588693 6583714 6571495 6420060 6351944 6351142 6329889 [46] 6319659 6310496 6310171 6308777 6304329 6293603 6288349 6271335 6268792 [55] 6262964 5922095 5213831 5117191 5058161 5057760 5038066 4950759 4846635 [64] 4840466 4690188 4604926 4150395 4075276 3867680 3837677 3750148 3728194 [73] 3713685 3672008 3545136 3489160 3483056 3482652 3374528 3145460 3009845 [82] 3009471 2945580 2938224 2898739 2780411 2775152 2772192 2766539 2697775 [91] 2134521 2099924 2093212 2080610 2079692 2078665 2077377 2074625 2052826 [100] 2050842 2044992 2044075 2043231 2032448 1972273 1970319 1864915 1843265 [109] 1397483 951762 778758 566968 415886 336977 235037 45750 1216 44 624809 759664 988971 1236678 1267088 [118] 518646 536372 727175 [127] 1482084 1528951 1573655 1600301 1752606 1755604 1767986 1810760 1842340

```
[136] 1962210 2003350 2039054 2048291 2137914 2293613 2342835 2345825 2347756
[145] 2399792 2400158 2404602 2409590 2489595 2791121 2803606 2806523 2866416
[154] 2867474 4107727 4108577 4109757 4112806 4115469 4116070 4198736 7246349
[163] 9538145 9592618 9593558 9704719 9860874 9909237
```

Answer 10:

We can answer this question by investigating the inter-peak distances. As all of our peaks are on the same chromosome, we just sort the peak starts and subtract the 2nd from the 1st, the 3rd from the 2nd, etc. Then we call the summary function which provides the mean and median. Note that the mean is constricted: it must be equal to the total span divided by the number of inter-peak distances. The median distance is about one quarter of the mean, so the peaks tend to cluster. You can also verify this by plotting the histogram of peakDists.

Answer 11:

```
> library("RColorBrewer")
> colours = colorRampPalette( rev(brewer.pal(9, "Blues")) )(255)
> heatmap.2( sampleDistMatrix, trace="none", col=colours)
```

See Figure 11.

9 Session Info

As last part of this document, we call the function sessionInfo, which reports the version numbers of R and all the packages used in this session. It is good practice to always keep such a record as it will help to trace down what has happened in case that an R script ceases to work because a package has been changed in a newer version.

```
R version 3.0.0 (2013-04-03)
Platform: x86_64-unknown-linux-gnu (64-bit)
locale:
[1] LC_CTYPE=en_GB.UTF-8 LC_NUMERIC=C
[3] LC_TIME=en_GB.UTF-8 LC_COLLATE=en_GB.UTF-8
[5] LC_MONETARY=en_GB.UTF-8 LC_MESSAGES=en_GB.UTF-8
[7] LC_PAPER=C LC_NAME=C
[9] LC_ADDRESS=C LC_TELEPHONE=C
```



Figure 11: The same heatmap as in Figure 6 but with better colours.

[11] LC_MEASUREMENT=en_GB.UTF-8 LC_IDENTIFICATION=C

```
attached base packages:
[1] grid
              parallel stats
                                  graphics grDevices utils
                                                                 datasets
[8] methods
              base
other attached packages:
 [1] genefilter_1.42.0
                           RColorBrewer_1.0-5
                                                 gplots_2.11.0.1
 [4] MASS_7.3-26
                           KernSmooth_2.23-10
                                                 caTools_1.14
                                                 reactome.db_1.44.0
                           gtools_2.7.1
 [7] gdata_2.12.0.2
[10] org.Hs.eg.db_2.9.0
                           RSQLite_0.11.4
                                                 DBI_0.2-7
[13] AnnotationDbi_1.22.5
                           parathyroidSE_0.99.5 DESeq2_1.0.16
[16] RcppArmadillo_0.3.820 Rcpp_0.10.3
                                                 lattice_0.20-15
[19] Biobase_2.20.0
                           GenomicRanges_1.12.4 IRanges_1.18.1
[22] BiocGenerics_0.6.0
                           cacheSweave_0.6-1
                                                 stashR_0.3-5
[25] filehash_2.2-1
loaded via a namespace (and not attached):
 [1] annotate_1.38.0
                       Biostrings_2.28.0 bitops_1.0-5
                                                           digest_0.6.3
 [5] locfit_1.5-9.1
                       Rsamtools_1.12.3 splines_3.0.0
                                                           stats4_3.0.0
 [9] survival_2.37-4
                       tools_3.0.0
                                         XML_3.96-1.1
                                                           xtable_1.7-1
[13] zlibbioc_1.6.0
```