Hurdle Models for Single Cell Gene Expression

Andrew McDavid

Department of Statistics, University of Washington and Vaccine and Infectious Disease Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center anmcd@uw.edu

@EquivMeasures

July 1, 2016



Why single cells?

 \mathbf{Y}_i vector of expression values. Bulk gene expression: $\sum_i \mathbf{Y}_i$. But what about:

- The cell-to-cell variance of each gene (Var *Y_j*)?
- Clusters of cells or latent structure (E[Y|Z])?
- Cellular coexpression (Cov Y)? or probabilistic independences?

Biological averaging has convolved over variables of interest.



Bimodality and single cell gene expression

A defining characteristic is **bimodality** in expression (Flatz 2011, Powell 2012, McDavid 2013, Marinov 2014).

Some (gene dependent) fraction of the time, little or no expression is detected.

Given detection, expression is symmetric and bounded away from zero.

Fluidigm qPCR



Bimodality and single cell gene expression

A defining characteristic is **bimodality** in expression (Flatz 2011, Powell 2012, McDavid 2013, Marinov 2014).

Some (gene dependent) fraction of the time, little or no expression is detected.

Given detection, expression is symmetric and bounded away from zero.



RNAseq

 log_2 (transcripts per million +1).

Bimodality and single cell gene expression

A defining characteristic is **bimodality** in expression (Flatz 2011, Powell 2012, McDavid 2013, Marinov 2014).

Some (gene dependent) fraction of the time, little or no expression is detected.

Given detection, expression is symmetric and bounded away from zero.

RNAseq, thresholded



Cause of bimodality

- Fluorescent *in-situ* hybridization experiments: mRNA often zero-inflated, log-normal distributed.
- Transcription occurs in bursts while DNA is uncoiled and accessible, followed by stochastic decay.
- Consistent with zero-inflation of single-cell qPCR and sequencing.



Shalek, et al, 2013

Are zeros limits of detection or censoring?

- N 10-cell equivalents \Rightarrow 10N the expression of a single cell equivalent
- Single molecule capture efficiency varies from 90% to 20%



• Similar relationships for the frequency of expression

5/18

Hurdle models

- Both rate of zeros and mean of log-normal vary according to biological treatments, generally in tandem.
- Phenomenological model: accommodate, rather than explain.



Hurdle model

 Y_i is log-expression in cell *i*. Then

$$Y_i = U_i V_i$$
 and $U_i \perp V_i$,
 $U_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_i, \tau^2)$,
 $V_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_i)$.

Hurdle models



Proportion Expressing 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5

Hurdle linear model

Let

$$\mu_i = \mathbf{X}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta},$$

logit $p_i = \mathbf{X}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}'$

be linear functions of covariates. Then we can do ANOVA and linear regression using the Hurdle model.

The log-likelihood of a sample of *n* cells, given $\mu_i(\beta)$ and $p_i(\beta')$ is

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu_i, p_i; \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{\left[1_{[y_i \neq 0]} \operatorname{logit} p_i + \log(1 - p_i)\right]}_{\text{Bernoulli}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i: y_i \neq 0} \underbrace{-1/2 \log\left(\tau^2 2\pi\right) - 1/2 \left[\frac{y_i - \mu_i}{\tau}\right]^2}_{\text{Normal}}$$

Cell cycle experiment (Dennis, et al [2014])

- $\,\bullet\,$ 333 genes, 930 cells, sorted by cell cycle (G0/G1, S, G2/M) $\,$
- 119 known, **ranked** genes associated with cell cycle from a bulk expression data base (cyclebase.org)
- Compare number of ranked and unranked genes discovered at a given P-values using:

Binomial: logistic regression on $1_y \equiv 1_{[y \neq 0]}$ Gaussian: linear regression on y Hurdle: joint regressions on 1_y and y

Performance



Hurdle model extensions and applications

Empirical Bayesian regularization to borrow strength across genes.

$$U_{ij} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_{ij}, \tau_j^2),$$

 $\tau_j^2 \sim \text{Inverse-Gamma}(a, b).$

- Stablity under linear separation with Cauchy prior on logistic coefficients.
- 3 Mixed models, in which the between-individual and within-individual variability is parametrized.
- Parametric graphical modeling on zero-inflated data to estimate gene-gene interactions
- S Competitive gene set enrichment analysis.

Tfh and HIV (Swiss Institute for Vaccine Research)

- Scientific question: how does HIV alter the expression profile of Tfh-maturation and signaling genes?
- 16 donors, recent HIV naive to anti-retroviral therapy, and healthy controls. Lymph biopsies.
- Two cell populations: CXCR5⁻PD1⁺, CXCR5⁺PD1⁺ (Tfh)



Tfh and HIV (Swiss Institute for Vaccine Research)

cond cond Healthy CXCR3 0.8 HIV IFNg IL12Rb1 module 0.6 TBX21 / Tbet TFH TNF-a (3) Th1 0.4 CCR4 Th17 GATA-3 Th₂ 0.2 IL-4 Trea BcL-6 CXCL13 0 CXCR5 ICOS IL-21 PDCD1 (2) CD25 / IL2Ra FoxP3 CCR6 IL-17A RoRaT AVIB 1006 AVIB 1028 AVIB 1027 CNA 2132 TFH016 TFH017 TFH049 TFH041 TFH023 TFH057 TFH076 TFH08 module AVIB 1003 AVIB 1005 AVIB 1042 AVIB 1043 CXCR5-PD1+: HIV vs. Healthy

cond

CXCR5+PD1+: HIV vs. Healthy

^

n

11 / 18

Tfh and HIV (Swiss Institute for Vaccine Research)

- Scientific question: how does HIV alter the expression profile of Tfh-maturation and signaling genes?
- 16 donors, recent HIV naive to anti-retroviral therapy, and healthy controls. Lymph biopsies.
- Two cell populations: CXCR5⁻PD1⁺, CXCR5⁺PD1⁺ (Tfh)
- Statistical question: do Tfh genes differ on average compared to non-Tfh genes in HIV+ vs healthy controls?



Competitive Gene Set Enrichment

- (1) Vector of expression estimates $\hat{\beta}_g$ and $\hat{\beta}'_g$ for genes $g = 1, \dots, N_G$.
- ② Geneset C

$$[C_g] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{Gene g is in set} \\ 0 & \text{Else} \end{cases}$$

and its complement $\mathbf{D} = 1 - \mathbf{C}$.

③ Expression in the set vs expression outside the set:

$$\delta = \frac{\mathbf{C}^{\mathsf{T}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}{\|\mathbf{C}\|_1} - \frac{\mathbf{D}^{\mathsf{T}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}{\|\mathbf{D}\|_1}$$

by comparing δ to Normal(0, Var(δ)).

④ Need an estimate of $Var(\delta)$.

$Var(\delta)$ and non-independence

Expression between genes dependent, so $Cov(\hat{\beta}_i, \hat{\beta}_j) \neq 0$ in general. Estimate covariance matrix $\mathbf{\Lambda} = [\lambda_{ij}] = Cov(\hat{\beta}_i, \hat{\beta}_j)$, then

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{\mathbf{C}^{T}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}{\|\mathbf{C}\|_{1}}\right) = \frac{\mathbf{C}^{T}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{C}}{\|\mathbf{C}\|_{1}^{2}}.$$

$Var(\delta)$ and non-independence

Expression between genes dependent, so $Cov(\hat{\beta}_i, \hat{\beta}_j) \neq 0$ in general. Estimate covariance matrix $\mathbf{\Lambda} = [\lambda_{ij}] = Cov(\hat{\beta}_i, \hat{\beta}_j)$, then

$$\mathsf{Var}\left(rac{\mathbf{C}^{\mathsf{T}}\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}}{\|\mathbf{C}\|_1}
ight) = rac{\mathbf{C}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{C}}{\|\mathbf{C}\|_1^2}.$$



Proximate and future work

- Power/sample size calculations
- Gene expression matrices Y_{ik} in donor k for condition i over genes j = 1,..., J.

Test condition effect $\beta_i \neq 0$ over the donor super-population.

Super-population variability $Var(\beta_{ij})$ might be similar between genes, like shrinkage models for dispersions: limma, deseq2, edgeR, etc.

- More useful decompositions of parameters for Hurdle models
- Clustering on zero-inflated data

More Reading

- Finak G., McDavid A., Yajima M, et al (2015). MAST: a flexible statistical framework for assessing transcriptional changes and characterizing heterogeneity in single-cell RNA sequencing data. Genome Biology.
- Dennis, L., McDavid, A., Danaher, P., *et al* (2014). *Modeling bi-modality improves characterization of cell cycle on gene expression in single cells.* PLoS Computational Biology.
- McDavid, A., Finak, G., Chattopadyay, P. K., et al (2013).
 Data Exploration, Quality Control and Testing in Single-Cell qPCR-Based Gene Expression Experiments. Bioinformatics.
- http://github.com/RGLab/MAST use branch summarizedExpt

Goal

- Learn how to filter, explore and test for differential expression.
- Join me in eating this delicious dog food.
- Package to be submitted to Bioconductor for fall release, on github in the meantime.



MAITAnalysis Vignette

- Want a unique key for rows (ENSEMBLE ids vs entrez ids vs UCSC transcript ids)
- Also want a human-readable default key for plots

- Want a unique key for rows (ENSEMBLE ids vs entrez ids vs UCSC transcript ids)
- Also want a human-readable default key for plots
- Hard to tell what your contrasts are with model.matrix. Easy to get the wrong answer. Important for power calculations.

- Want a unique key for rows (ENSEMBLE ids vs entrez ids vs UCSC transcript ids)
- Also want a human-readable default key for plots
- Hard to tell what your contrasts are with model.matrix. Easy to get the wrong answer. Important for power calculations.
- heatmap, heatmap2

- Want a unique key for rows (ENSEMBLE ids vs entrez ids vs UCSC transcript ids)
- Also want a human-readable default key for plots
- Hard to tell what your contrasts are with model.matrix. Easy to get the wrong answer. Important for power calculations.
- heatmap, heatmap2, pheatmap

- Want a unique key for rows (ENSEMBLE ids vs entrez ids vs UCSC transcript ids)
- Also want a human-readable default key for plots
- Hard to tell what your contrasts are with model.matrix. Easy to get the wrong answer. Important for power calculations.
- heatmap, heatmap2, pheatmap, aheatmap

- Want a unique key for rows (ENSEMBLE ids vs entrez ids vs UCSC transcript ids)
- Also want a human-readable default key for plots
- Hard to tell what your contrasts are with model.matrix. Easy to get the wrong answer. Important for power calculations.
- heatmap, heatmap2, pheatmap, aheatmap, complexheatmap

- Want a unique key for rows (ENSEMBLE ids vs entrez ids vs UCSC transcript ids)
- Also want a human-readable default key for plots
- Hard to tell what your contrasts are with model.matrix. Easy to get the wrong answer. Important for power calculations.
- heatmap, heatmap2, pheatmap, aheatmap, complexheatmap, heatmapTheAwakening

Acknowledgments

External Collaborators

Mario Roederer Lucas Dennis Martin Prlic Giuseppe Pantaleo Dan Lu and Bill Robinson





Fred Hutch and UW Statistics

Raphael Gottardo Greg Finak Masanao Yajima R01 EB008400 from the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering